[Despatch Abstract.]

Rev. Dept. 1952

Compdll

Memo. No. 8453 of 1952.

dl 23.4.52.

CONFIDENTI AL:

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
SUBJECT

PUBLIC SERVANTS - (Salem) - Sankariah Chettiar, Sri T. A. - Former Tahsildar, Trichengode and now Additional First class Magistrate, Salem - Award of a censure by the Revenue Divisional Officer - Appeal - Instructions -Requested-

Ref. No.D. 365/52-1(c)
Station: Madras. Dated 14th February 1952

From

Sri N.C. Thomas, B.A.,
Joint Secretary, Board of Revenue, Madras.

Sri W.R.S. Satthianathan, IC.S., Commissioner of Land Revenue.

--

Read: From the Collector of Salem, Roc. A.3. 17204/51, dated 7.1.52.

REFERENCE:

Submitted to the Government.

2. The Board requests the favour of early orders in the matter.

for Joint Secretary.

To

The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Madras.

Copy of letter from Sri A. Dorairaj, B.A. Collector of Salem, No. Roc. A3 17204/51, dated 7.1.1952.

...

Sub: Sankariah Chettiar, Sri T.A. - Ex-Tahsildar, Trichengode and now Additional First Class Magistrate, Salem - Award of a censure by the Revenue Divisional Officer, Appeal to Collector - Instructions - Requested.

Ref:

Sri T.A. Sankariah Chettiar, permanent superintendent of the Madras Secretariat service was deputed to undergo training as Tahsildar in Salem district. When he was officiating as Tahsildar of Trichengode certain charges were framed against him by the Revenue Divisional Officer, Sankari and he was awarded a censure by the Revenue Divisional Officer. He was now appealed to me requesting me to cancel the order of censure on the merits of the case and on the grounds of illegality. He points out that Rule (14) of the Civil Services (C.C. and A.) Rules is applicable to him because he is a permanent Superintendent of the Madras Secretariat Service. Under these circumstances I request to be informed whether the above rule is to be applied in this case regarding punishment and whether the Revenue Divisional Officer is not competent to award him a censure.

c (Sd.) x x
for Collector.

/true copy/

kd. 1.7.

To A.S.

3. While Sri Sankariah Chettiar was working as Tahsildar, Tiruchengode, Salem Dt., the R.D.O. Sankari, framed a charge in his memo dt. 24.12.50 Calling upon the explanation of the Tahsildar against stoppage of increment for three months for delays in the submission of reports in certain land acquisition cases. After obtaining the explanation of the Tahsildar, the R.D.O. censured the Tahsildar and warned him to give special attention to that subject in future without giving room for further defaults. - vide R.D.O's L.Dis. 2636/51dated 28.2.51 on p. 10 cf. Against this order Sri Sankariah Chettiar was appealed to the Collector of Salem. One of the points raised in the appeal is that under rule 14 (ii) of the M.C.S. (CC & A.) Rules the R.D.O. should have consulted the Govt. in the Dev. Dept before the issue of orders in the matter as the Tahr. is a permanent superintendent of the Devt. Sectt. For the reasons stated in his letter on p. 2 cf. The collector referred the matter to the Board for instructions and the Board has submitted the case to the Goct. for orders.

(True extract)

kd. 1.7.

7/7